

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/826,706	Applicant(s) KNOPP ET AL.	
	Examiner F. L. Evans	Art Unit 2877	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) F. L. Evans (primary examiner).

(3) _____

 (2) Margaret M. Slezak (applicant's representative).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 April 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:


18 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It was agreed to (1) cancel claim 22 because claims 18 and 22 are substantial duplicates and (2) change "side" to -wall- in lines 3 and 4 of claim 18 for consistency of claim terminology. See the accompanying Examiner's Amendment.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)